IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Christopher James Cullins, Jr., #3924,)
) C.A. No.: 8:08-00592-RBH
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.	ORDER
)
SLRDC Director Simon Major, Jr.,;)
Assistant Director Major Darrell McGhane	ey,)
and Food Service Director Sammy Rember	rt,)
)
Defendants.)
)
	_)

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

No objections were filed to the Report and Recommendation. The [docket entry #23] Report and Recommendation was mailed to the plaintiff on December 8, 2008. The mail was returned to the court on December 15, 2008 [docket entry #24] marked "Mail Returned as

8:08-cv-00592-RBH Date Filed 12/30/08 Entry Number 27 Page 2 of 2

Undeliverable/NOT HERE/REFUSED BY INSTITUTION." Plaintiff has not provided the court

with an updated address as required by the order of April 1, 2008, and, as a result, the court has no

means of contacting him concerning his case. Plaintiff was warned and advised in the order to keep

the Clerk apprised of his current address and that a failure to do so may result in a dismissal of the

case. Accordingly, this action is due to be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(b).

Furthermore, in the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate

Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See

Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the

court adopts Magistrate Judge Hendricks' Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein.

It is therefore

ORDERED that the defendants' [17] motion for summary judgment is hereby GRANTED,

and this action is dismissed with prejudice on the merits and also pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. BRYAN HARWELL

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina December 30, 2008

2